

Connecting What's Next

FCC Spectrum Repack The Impact on TV and FM Stations

Rich Redmond Chief Product Officer

| | 101011 | | | |
|--------|-----------|---------------------|----------------|----|
| Create | Transport | Transmit Television | Transmit Radio | 2) |

Agenda



- Background Why the demand
- What is the repack
- What part of the TV facility is impacted?
- How does this impact FM radio
- Transmitter building impacts
- Tower Structure Impacts
- Antenna & Line Impacts
- Potential Interference
- Technical Resource Impacts
- Conclusions





Why the demand for spectrum



- Mobile data usage grows
- Traditional traffic of web-VOIP becomes smaller portion
- Mobile video consumption consumes almost 3 quarters of usage
- Unicast nature of mobile data drive one to one connection per user – does not scale well for common content
- EMBMS (broadcast LTE) helps but will not meet demand
- More spectrum needed to fuel data growth
- UHF TV spectrum presented as underused beachfront property



Figures in parentheses refer to 2014, 2019 traffic share. Source: Cisco VNI Mobile, 2015

Mobile Data Usage Projections

Create







FCC's Definition of "Repacking"

(Source: http://wireless.fcc.gov/incentiveauctions/learn-program/repacking.html)

"Repacking involves reorganizing television stations in the broadcast television bands so that stations that remain on the air after the incentive auction occupy a smaller portion of the UHF band, thereby freeing up a portion of that band for new wireless services uses."



Stations Effected



- 800 1200 stations are going to be impacted by the Repack
 - This number will be determined by the amount of spectrum that will need to be cleared
 - 84 MHz will be channels 38 and above
 - 126MHz will be channels 30 and above, except channel 37 (a protected channel)
 - These numbers were generated by the FCC, through the running of 100 different simulations

| 126 | 21 22 | 23 | 24 2 | 5 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 9 | A | В | Ĉ | D | Ε | F | 3 37 | 3 | G | Η | ļ | J | 1 | 1/ | Α | В | Ĉ | D | E | F | Ğ | Η | I J | 700 | MHz UL |
|-----|-------|----|-------|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|------|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|--------|
| 114 | 21 22 | 23 | 24 2 | 5 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 7 | Α | В | C | D | 37 | 3 | E | F | G | Н | I | 1 | 1// | Α | В | C | D | E | F | G | H I | 700 | MHz UL |
| 108 | 21 22 | 23 | 24 2 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 1 | 1// | А | в | 3 37 | 3 | С | D | Ε | F | G | Η | 1 | 1 | А | в | С | D | Ε | F | GH | 700 | MHz UL |
| 84 | 21 22 | 23 | 24 25 | 5 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 3 | А | в | С | D | Ε | F | G | 1 | 1// | А | в | С | D | Е | FG | 700 | MHz UL |



Spectrum Auction Station Impact



| Data from FCC 120 MHz Clearing Target Repacking Simulations | | | | |
|--|------|------|--|--|
| Eligible UHF Stations 1675 | | | | |
| Stations Eliminated | | 443 | | |
| Stations Remaining on Channel (No Optimization) | | 92 | | |
| Stations Required to Repack (No Optimization) | 1147 | 1184 | | |
| DTC Estimated Stations remaining on channel (After Optimization) | 400 | 167 | | |
| DTC Estimated Stations Required to Repack (After Optimization) | | 1065 | | |

Data from FCC 84 MHz Clearing Target Repacking Simulations

| Eligible UHF Stations | 16 | 575 |
|--|------|------|
| Stations Eliminated | 222 | 249 |
| Stations Remaining on Channel (No Optimization) | 92 | 132 |
| Stations Required to Repack (No Optimization) | 1361 | 1294 |
| DTC Estimated Stations remaining on channel (After Optimization) | 443 | 262 |
| DTC Estimated Stations Required to Repack (After Optimization) | 1020 | 1164 |
| Courses ECO DIAA Circulations and DTO Anatusia | | |

Sources: FCC DMA Simulations and DTC Analysis

** The numbers above represent a range based on the analysis of the FCC 100 repack simulations

800 to 1200 stations will need to rebuild transmission infrastructure as part of repack





Transmit Television (



Estimated Costs for Total Repack



| Total Cost Summary if 800 Stations are Repacked | | | | | | | |
|---|----|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Cost for Full Power Stations | \$ | 996,554,020 | | | | | |
| Cost for Medium Power Stations | \$ | 892,584,960 | | | | | |
| Cost for Lower Power Stations | \$ | 31,480,000 | | | | | |
| Cost for Special Case Stations* | \$ | 63,855,975 | | | | | |
| Total Cost | \$ | 1,984,474,955 | | | | | |

Total Cost Summary if 1200 Stations are Repacked

| | - |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| Cost for Full Power Stations | \$ 1,495,187,804 |
| Cost for Medium Power Stations | \$ 1,338,877,440 |
| Cost for Lower Power Stations | \$ 47,220,000 |
| Cost for Special Case Stations* | \$ 63,855,975 |
| Total Cost | \$ 2,945,141,219 |

* Represents shared sites with shared antennas such as 4 Times Square and Empire State Building in NYC, Wills and Hancock Towers in Chicago, Mt. Wilson in LA, and Mt. Sutro in San Francisco











Likely start delayed due to additional rounds of the auction

Recent regulations have stated that stations unable to make the transition within 39 months to their new channel will NOT be forced off the air





An Auction on the Move – Timeline



| Event | Current Estimate |
|---|--|
| Stations file Application to Participate in Reverse Auction | December 1, 2015 to January 4, 2016 |
| Broadcasters make minor corrections and modifications necessary to complete their applications | January - March 2016 |
| Deadline for broadcasters that registered for reverse auction to commit to preferred bidding option. This is a binding commitment to accept FCC's initial offer | March 29, 2016 |
| FCC notifies each reverse auction applicant of their status. FCC runs initial clearing target optimization and sets initial clearing target | April – May 2016 |



An Auction on the Move – Timeline



| Event | Current Estimate |
|---|-----------------------|
| Reverse auction bidding rounds commence – bidding continues for about 1 month | May 2016 |
| Forward auction is conducted. If revenues target not met, the FCC reruns the reverse auction with a lower spectrum target. If revenues are sufficient the auction closes, winning bidders, channel reassignments announced | June - September 2016 |
| FCC commences payments to winning bidders on a rolling basis, as forward auction licenses are issued | November 2016 |

Likely start delayed due to additional rounds of the auction





An Auction on the Move – Timeline



| Event | Current Estimate |
|---|---|
| Repacked stations must file construction permits (CPs) for new facilities and submit repacking cost estimates | December 2016 FCC set 90 day timeline to file CP applications following <i>Channel</i> <i>Reassignment Public Notice</i> |
| Station deadlines to build out CPs (station-specific deadlines) | February 2017 – December 2019 |
| Filing window for displaced translators* Not protected, anyone displaced will hopefully be found a new space | August 2017 |
| Deadline for repacking expense finalization and true-up process | September 2019 |
| All repacked stations to be operating on new channels; all broadcasts on pre-auction channels end | December 2019 |













Television Transmission System Impact





FM and TV stations that share towers

Transmitter footprint – floor plan impact

- Flash cut scenario drive parallel operations
- New Transmitter needs significant space when RF system is included
- Liquid cooling system impact space both in and outside of the building
- Existing FM transmitters may need to be relocated for access or new transmitter space
- Electrical systems may need to be upgraded – off air interruptions

ary and confidential. | 15

New Side-mount Antenna is Likely

- Easy way to accommodate channel transition
- Long term operation (several months to a couple of years) is likely so full power / full gain side-mount will be preferred
- Tower Loading and possible re-enforcement will drive many decisions
- Required size for TV side mount may impact FM

Transport

Create

Tower Structural Analysis

- TIA-222 Rev G
 - lce
 - Wind Speed
 - Topography
 - Shape Factors
- Tower owner will need to get a structural analysis

Escarpment

V(z)

 $(\mathbf{\check{\mathbf{b}}})$ Transmit Television

निः

Coverage Replication

• OET-69 "dipole factor"

Table 2

Field Strengths Defining the Area subject to Calculation for DTV Stations

| Channels | Defining Field Strength, dBu, to be predicted for 50% of locations, 90% of time |
|----------|---|
| 2 - 6 | 28 |
| 7 - 13 | 36 |
| 14 - 69 | 41 - 20 log[615/(channel mid-frequency in MHz)] |

$$P_r = P_t \frac{G_t G_r \lambda^2}{(4\pi R)^2}$$

1 MW ERP AT CH49 WILL BE ALLOCATED 687 KW ERP AT CH 25

6

SAME SIZE AND WINDLOAD – LOWER GAIN

@]

REQUIRES LARGER HEAVIER ANTENNA

@]

Site Density will Drive Impact to Radio GATESARCONNECT

Lower Impact

Tall tower with open vertical space

FM antenna is top mounted & TV antenna is side mounted

Higher Impact

Congested mountain top site or building supporting multiple towers and multiple stations

Transmit Television (

Congested tower

Proprietary and confidential. | 22

Impact of an FM antenna move

- Vertical Polarization Pattern not effected always broad cardioid
- H- pole pattern becomes directional with ~6' face size
- H-pole pattern becomes more omnidirectional with ~ 10' face size

FM Pattern impacted by tower size

- GATESAIR CONNECT
- Directional station would need to re-file with new pattern study

नि

Channel impacts transmission line

In UHF ridged line length has VSWR impact base on frequency

A move from CH 44 to CH 17 using 20' line lengths will need to replace transmission line

Proprietary and confidential. | 24

Transmit Television

TV Relocates to VHF CH 6

- FM Antenna can receive channel 6
- Power from Channel 6 can Mix in output stage of FM transmitter
 - Important for transmitters to have good turnaround loss
- IM Power is rebroadcast onto upper-adjacent FM frequencies
- Only an issue with very low FM channels (88.1~90.1)
- Channel 6 Antenna needs to be close to FM antenna
- Actual requirement for filter depends on several factors – distance, azimuth and elevation patterns, FM transmitter turn around loss...

Transport

Transmit Television

Impact on Broadcast Technical Resources

- Large demand on consulting engineers time
- Structural analysis
- Transmitter installers
- Tower crews new towers and antenna installation
- May impact planed Radio projects
- May need to look for alternative resources
- Projects may get delayed

Create

How does this impact the co located radio

TV Station Change

- Antenna Change
- Transmission Line Change
- Tower Structural Reinforcement
- RF System Change
- Transmitter Change
- Electrical Service

- Off air Time, may need to relocate
- Off air Time, may need to relocate
- Off air Time, may need to relocate
- In building floor plan changes may need to move equipment
- In building floor plan changes may need to move equipment
- Changes to Service may impact radio transmitter

Planning for site redundancy critical to avoid off air time

Summary

- With over 2300 radio stations sharing facilities, TV likely large impact
- Go see your neighbors engage TV station soon
- Broadcaster transition fund is taken from auction proceeds
 - Paid to TV broadcasters involuntarily repacked to new channel
- Put together plan and budget if FM site is severely impacted
- Possible that impacted FM sites can re-coup costs due to TV channel change
 - FM costs must be considered in impacted TV stations budget
- Most severe resource constraints will be structural engineers, antenna supply, installation crews
- Most of all Plan early and follow the Boy Scouts motto Be Prepared

